

District 10 Mobility Performance Report

2019 Second Quarter

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

August 22, 2019
: Jaime Q. Quesada

District 10 Mobility Performance Report

2019 Second Quarter

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview

Caltrans District 10 contains eight counties located within the Central Valley (San Joaquin / Stanislaus / Merced) and the Sierra Nevada (Amador / Calaveras / Tuolumne / Mariposa / Alpine). Over the years detection in Alpine and Calaveras Counties has been sparse, so the District 10 Mobility Performance Report (MPR) no longer includes these two counties in the quarterly or annual analysis.

The MPR quarterly analysis compares information in the current quarter to that of the previous quarter and the quarter one year prior. The following are the performance measures reported in the MPR:

- Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
- Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD)
- Lost Lane Miles (LLM)
- Detector Health (DH)

This information is based on data collected every day of the quarter, twenty-four hours a day, by automated vehicle detector stations deployed on urban-area freeways where congestion is regularly experienced. The MPR presents congestion information at two speed thresholds: delay from vehicles traveling below 35 miles per hour (mph), and delay from vehicles traveling below 60 mph. The delay at the 35 mph threshold represents severe congestion while delay at 60 mph represents all congestion, both light and heavy. These thresholds are set by Caltrans and are based upon engineering experience and District input.

FINDINGS

In the first quarter, total delay equaled 620 thousand vehicle hours of delay (VHD) at the 35 mph speed threshold and 1.9 million VHD at the 60 mph threshold. Compared to the same quarter the

year before, there was an 88.1 percent increase in 35 mph quarterly delay and 65.7 percent increase in 60 mph quarterly delay. The average weekday delay experienced in this quarter was approximately 8,646 VHD at 35 mph and 25,730 VHD at 60 mph. The increased delay numbers can mainly be attributed to a 20 percent increase in the number of good detectors and -29% percent decrease in the number of bad detectors compared to the second quarter of 2019.

The following District 10 projects are currently being constructed or are scheduled for construction effective August 2019. These current and future (planned) projects will further relieve congestion in District 10:

MERCED COUNTY

MER 99 NB LIVINGSTON MEDIAN WIDENING; EA 10-0Q121

Lane widening from 2 to 3 lanes

Approve Construction Contract Date – 08/01/2021

End Project – 10/02/2023

MER 99 SB LIVINGSTON MEDIAN WIDENING; EA 10-0Q122

Lane widening from 2 to 3 lanes

Approve Construction Contract Date – 01/19/2019

End Project – 10/01/2021

MER 152 – LOS BANOS BYPASS SEGMENT I; EA 10-41911

Convert 4 lane expressway to 6 lane freeway

Approve Construction Contract Date – 05/15/2018

End Project – 10/01/2020

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

SJ 4 RAMP METERING IMPROVEMENTS; EA 10-1F180

Install ramp meters along SR 4 between the I-5 and SR 99 Connectors

Currently in PRS/PDS; PA&ED Scheduled for mid-2016

End Project – Estimated to be mid 2020

SJ 120 RAMP METERING IMPROVEMENTS; EA 10-1F040

Install ramp meters along SR 4 between the I-5 and SR 99 Connectors

Currently in PRS/PDS; PA&ED Scheduled for mid-2016

End Project – Estimated to be mid 2020

I-205 SMART CORRIDOR PHASE 2; EA 10-1C330

Install ramp meters and ITS elements along I205 from MacArthur to Grant Line Road

Currently in PA&ED

End Project – 11/01/2020

I-205 – MOUNTAIN HOUSE PARKWAY INTERCHANGE PROJECT; EA 10-1E210

Improve the I-205 – Mountain House Parkway Interchange to accommodate planned future growth in and around the City of Tracy

Currently in PA&ED

End Project – Estimated mid 2022

I-580 – PATTERSON PASS ROAD INTERCHANGE PROJECT; EA 10-1E220

Improve the I-205 – Patterson Pass Road Interchange to accommodate planned future growth in and around the City of Tracy

Currently in PA&ED

End Project – Estimated mid 2022

I-205 – LAMMERS ROAD / 11TH STREET INTERCHANGE PROJECT; EA 10-0H910

Construct the I-205 – Lammers Road / 11th Street Interchange to accommodate planned future growth in and around the City of Tracy

Currently in PA&ED

End Project – Estimated mid 2022

STANISLAUS COUNTY

STA 99 / SJ 99 RAMP METERING & MAINLINE IMPROVEMENTS; EA 10-1C300

Improve Mainline and Ramp Operations; Standardize Structure Clearance; Add Auxiliary Lane

Currently in PA&ED

End Project – Estimated to be mid 2020

The above capacity increasing, ramp metering, interchange improvement, and interchange construction projects are located on the routes, in the cities, and in the counties that experience the most congestion in District 10. It is expected that the projects will help increase the Vehicle Miles Traveled while reducing congestion and delay as the population and demand in District 10 grows over the next 10 years.

The next section of this report summarizes the District 10 2019 Q2 Quarterly Mobility Statistics.

2019 Q2 Quarterly Mobility Statistics - District 10

Measure	Graph	Percentage Change									
Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT)	<p>Miles (Billions)</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Year/Quarter</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr><td>2018 Q2</td><td>1.5</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q1</td><td>3.3</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q2</td><td>1.8</td></tr> </table>	Year/Quarter	Value	2018 Q2	1.5	2019 Q1	3.3	2019 Q2	1.8	Over one year ago	Over last quarter
		Year/Quarter	Value								
		2018 Q2	1.5								
2019 Q1	3.3										
2019 Q2	1.8										
23.4%	-45.5%										
Total Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) at 35 mph	<p>Hours (Thousands)</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Year/Quarter</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr><td>2018 Q2</td><td>329.8</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q1</td><td>557.9</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q2</td><td>620.2</td></tr> </table>	Year/Quarter	Value	2018 Q2	329.8	2019 Q1	557.9	2019 Q2	620.2	Over one year ago	Over last quarter
		Year/Quarter	Value								
		2018 Q2	329.8								
2019 Q1	557.9										
2019 Q2	620.2										
88.1%	11.2%										
Average Non-Holiday Weekday Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) at 35 mph	<p>Hours</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Year/Quarter</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr><td>2018 Q2</td><td>4567</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q1</td><td>7751</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q2</td><td>8646</td></tr> </table>	Year/Quarter	Value	2018 Q2	4567	2019 Q1	7751	2019 Q2	8646	Over one year ago	Over last quarter
		Year/Quarter	Value								
		2018 Q2	4567								
2019 Q1	7751										
2019 Q2	8646										
89.3%	11.6%										
Total Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) at 60 mph	<p>Hours (Millions)</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Year/Quarter</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr><td>2018 Q2</td><td>1.1</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q1</td><td>1.7</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q2</td><td>1.9</td></tr> </table>	Year/Quarter	Value	2018 Q2	1.1	2019 Q1	1.7	2019 Q2	1.9	Over one year ago	Over last quarter
		Year/Quarter	Value								
		2018 Q2	1.1								
2019 Q1	1.7										
2019 Q2	1.9										
65.7%	11.6%										
Average Non-Holiday Weekday Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) at 60 mph	<p>Hours (Thousands)</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Year/Quarter</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr><td>2018 Q2</td><td>16</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q1</td><td>24</td></tr> <tr><td>2019 Q2</td><td>26</td></tr> </table>	Year/Quarter	Value	2018 Q2	16	2019 Q1	24	2019 Q2	26	Over one year ago	Over last quarter
		Year/Quarter	Value								
		2018 Q2	16								
2019 Q1	24										
2019 Q2	26										
64.1%	8.1%										

Measure	Graph	Percentage Change	
<p>Average Vehicle Hours of Delay by Day of Week at 60 mph</p>		<p>Largest Magnitude Decrease over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Decrease over last quarter</p>
		<p>-</p>	<p>Tuesday -19% </p>
		<p>Largest Magnitude Increase over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Increase over last quarter</p>
		<p>Thursday 72.6% </p>	<p>Thursday 32.1% </p>
<p>Average Vehicle Hours of Delay by Hour of Day at 35 mph, Weekdays</p>		<p>Largest Magnitude Weekday Decrease over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Weekday Decrease over last quarter</p>
		<p>-</p>	<p>6 AM -9.4% </p>
		<p>Largest Magnitude Weekday Increase over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Weekday Increase over last quarter</p>
		<p>3 PM 176.4% </p>	<p>3 PM 73.7% </p>
<p>Average Vehicle Hours of Delay by Hour of Day at 35 mph, Saturdays</p>		<p>Largest Magnitude Saturday Decrease over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Saturday Decrease over last quarter</p>
		<p>10 AM -39.8% </p>	<p>7 AM -83.1% </p>
		<p>Largest Magnitude Saturday Increase over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Saturday Increase over last quarter</p>
		<p>11 PM 839.3% </p>	<p>11 PM 203.1% </p>
<p>Average Vehicle Hours of Delay by Hour of Day at 35 mph, Sundays/Holidays</p>		<p>Largest Magnitude Sun./Holiday Decrease over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Sun./Holiday Decrease over last quarter</p>
		<p>9 PM -36% </p>	<p>7 AM -90.2% </p>
		<p>Largest Magnitude Sun./Holiday Increase over one year ago</p>	<p>Largest Magnitude Sun./Holiday Increase over last quarter</p>
		<p>1 PM 208.9% </p>	<p>2 PM 205.4% </p>

Measure	Graph	Percentage Change	
Total Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) by County at 35 mph		Largest Magnitude Decrease over one year ago	Largest Magnitude Decrease over last quarter
		Stanislaus -15.4% ↓	
Average Non-Holiday Weekday Equivalent Lost Lane Mile Hours at 35 mph		Largest Magnitude Decrease over one year ago	Largest Magnitude Decrease over last quarter
		AM Peak -97% ↓	AM Peak -12% ↓
Average Number of Good and Bad Detectors		Largest Magnitude Increase over one year ago	Largest Magnitude Increase over last quarter
		PM Peak 145.4% ↑	PM Peak 15.4% ↑
Average Number of Good and Bad Detectors		Change in Good over one year ago	Change in Good over last quarter
		20% ↑	5% ↑
Average Number of Good and Bad Detectors		Change in Bad over one year ago	Change in Bad over last quarter
		-29% ↑	-14% ↑

Data may change in coming months due to on-going data reconciliation process

Congestion by Route											
Route	County	Vehicle Hours of Delay at 35 mph			Difference 2018 Q2-2018 Q1		Difference 2018 Q2-2019 Q1		Rank		
		2018 Q2	2018 Q1	2019 Q2	Absolute	Percentage	Absolute	Percentage	2018 Q2	2018 Q1	2019 Q2
I205	San Joaquin	385,323	326,954	373,298	-12,025	-3.1%	46,344	14.2%	1	1	1
SR99	Stanislaus	37,993	144,129	109,964	71,971	189.4%	-34,165	-23.7%	3	2	2
SR99	San Joaquin	32,978	46,922	85,545	52,567	159.4%	38,624	82.3%	4	4	3
I5	San Joaquin	10,348	38,052	79,130	68,781	664.7%	41,078	108.0%	7	5	4
SR132	Stanislaus	24,300	17,455	31,265	6,965	28.7%	13,811	79.1%	6	8	5
SR4	San Joaquin	32,040	20,354	30,328	-1,712	-5.3%	9,974	49.0%	5	6	6
SR120	San Joaquin	561	20,191	27,696	27,135	4834.3%	7,506	37.2%	13	7	7
I580	San Joaquin	54,057	84,781	24,360	-29,697	-54.9%	-60,421	-71.3%	2	3	8
SR99	Merced	6,517	14,144	10,226	3,709	56.9%	-3,919	-27.7%	8	9	9
SR132	San Joaquin	779	9,833	7,132	6,353	815.4%	-2,701	-27.5%	11	11	10
SR12	San Joaquin	0	6,364	5,418	5,418	5418300.0%	-946	-14.9%	19	12	11
I5	Stanislaus	1,859	10,859	4,410	2,551	137.2%	-6,449	-59.4%	10	10	12
SR152	Merced	369	1,787	3,390	3,021	819.2%	1,603	89.7%	14	15	13
SR219	Stanislaus	2,853	2,887	2,766	-87	-3.1%	-121	-4.2%	9	13	14
SR108	Tuolumne	672	1,645	1,194	522	77.6%	-452	-27.5%	12	16	15
I5	Merced	2	234	1,077	1,075	53770.0%	844	361.0%	18	19	16
SR49	Mariposa	102	471	374	272	266.7%	-97	-20.6%	17	18	17
SR88	Amador	0	199	339	339		140	70.4%		20	18
SR16	Amador	0	14	286	286		272	1956.1%		21	19
SR124	Amador	0	4	277	277		273	6495.2%		23	20
SR49	Tuolumne	267	1	272	5	1.8%	271	45233.3%	15	24	21
SR120	Tuolumne	0	2,462	232	232		-2,230	-90.6%		14	22
SR165	Merced	132	550	153	22	16.6%	-397	-72.1%	16	17	23
SR104	Amador	0	5	2	2		-3	-66.7%		22	24
SR120	Stanislaus	0	0	0	0		0				
TOTALS		591,152	750,297	799,134	207,982	35.2%	48,837	6.5%			